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This action involves a petition for the review of a decision of the Wisconsin Tax Appeals Commission (hereinafter Commission). decision dated October 21, 1980, affirming the disallowance of a retailer's discount claimed by petitioner pursuant to Section 77.51.(11)(c)5, Stats., for the tax years 1974 and 1975 and the disallowance of a claim by petitioner that the sale of his business in 1976 constituted an occasional sale which is not subject to sales tax pursuant to Section 77.51(1.0)(a), Stats. Petitioner claims that the decision of the Commission is based upon an incorrect interpretation of the lat and also constitues an action outside the range of discretion delegated to it under law.

0 Narrative Pacts
Until September of 1976 petitioner's business constituted placing coin-operated amusement machines (such as juke boxes, : pinball machines, pool tables, bowling sames) in various comacrial
establishments under agreements with the proprictors that the proprietors received a certain percentage of the gross receipts from the machines. Petitioner collected the receipts from the machines, divided the receipts with the proprictors, and was responsible for the maintenance and repair of the machines. Petitioner sold the business and all the machines as placed in September of 1976 for $\$ 104,200.00$.

Accordin' to petitioner, when he purchased a machine, he paid a sales tax upon the purchase price. Subsequent to such purchase, he clained a credit for the tax so pajd as an offset against the sales tax otherwise due upon receipt from the machine. The credit was claimed with respect to the receipts from the machines until such time as the entire sales tax paid upon the purchase thereof was recovered. The assessments for 1974 and 1925 constitute a disallowance of such credits, and the assessment for 1976 constitutes an assessment of sales tax upon the sales price of the business.

## Contentions of Parties

With respect to the assessments for 1974 and 1975 , it is. petitioner's contention that the receipts from the machines constituted rental payments for the use thercof by the general public, and, because the machines were purchased for resale via rentals, no sales tax was due upon the purchase thereof, Accordingly, petitioner was entitled to the credits mach
he clained. It is respondent's contention that the reccipts from the machines constituted Jayment for services rendered by'petitioner which are subject to sales tax and, therefore, petitioner's purchases of the machines verc subject to sales tax.

With respect to the assessment for 1976 , it is petitioner's contention that the purchaser of the machines purchased same for rental and, therfore, no sales tax was due upon the sale thereof. It is respondent's contention that the purchaser bought the machines to render a taxable service and, therefore, the sale thercof was subject to sales tax.

## Statutes Involved

Section 77.52(1), Stats., provides as follows:
"(1) For the privilege of selling, leasing, or renting tangible personal property, including accessories, components, attacnments, parts, supplies and matcrials at retail, a tax is imposed upon all retailcrs at the rate of $4 \%$ of the gross receipts from the sale, lease or rental of tangible personal property, including accessories, components, attachments, parts supplies and materials sold, leased, or rented at retail in this state."'

Section 77.52(2), Stats. 1971, provides in part as.follows:
"For the privilege of selling, performing, or furnishing the services deseribed under par. (a) at retail in this state to consumers or users. a tax is imposed upon all persons selling, performing or furnishing the services at the rate of $4 \%$ of the gross receipts from the sale, performance or furnishing of the services.
(a) The tax imposed herein applies to the following rypes of services:
$* * *$
2. The sale of admissions to places of amusement, athletic entertainment or recreational events or places and the furnishing for dues, fecs or other considerations, the privilege of access to clubs or the orivilege of having access to or the use of amusment, entertainment, athletic or recreational devices or facilities." (Emphasis added).

Section 77.51, Stats., provides'in part as follows:
"Section 77.51 Definitions. Except where the context requires otherwise, the definitions given in this section govern the construction of terms in this subchapter.
$\% \quad \% \quad \%$
(10) 'Occasional sales' includes:
(a) Isolated and sporadic sales of tangible personal property or taxable services where the infrequency, in relation to the other circumstances, including the sales price and the gross profit, support the inference that the seller is not pursuing a vacation, occupation or part-time business as a vendor of personal property or taxable services. sale of amy tanciule personal monerty or taxable service may be deemed an occasional sale if at tha time of such sale the seller holds or is required to hold a seller's pormit. (Emphasis added).

* $\quad \% \quad \%$
(11)(c)5. If a lessor of tangible personal property purchased such property before or after the change fron a selective to a rencral sales tax law and reimbursed his vendor for sales tax on the salle by such vendor for sales ax on the sale by such vendor to him, the tax due from such lessor on his rental reseipt: on and after September 1,1969 , may be offect by a credit equal to, hut not in excess of, the tax otherwise due on the rental receipts. from such property for the reporting period. The credit shall expire when the cumulative rental receipts both before, on and after September 1, 1969, equal
the sales price upon which his vendor paid sales taxes to this state. Similarly, if a purcinaser of tangible personal property before or after such change has reimbursed his vendor for sales tax on the sale to hin and subsequently, prior to making any use of the property other than retention, demonstration, or display winile holding it for sale or rental, makes a taxable sale of such propety, the tax due on such taxable sale may be offset by the tax reimbursed. (Emphasis added).
(24) With respect to the services covered by s. 77.52 (2), no part of the charge for the service may be decmed a sale or rental of tanible personal property." (Emphasis added).


## DECISYON

The issue in this case is not whether the fross receipts from petitioner's coin-operated amusement devices are subject to Wisconsin sales tax -- the parties agree that sales tax is due on the gross receipts. Rather, the issue is whether that tax is properly imposed under Section $77.52(1)$, Stats., as rental receipts for the use of tangible personal property, or under Section $77.52(2)(a) 2$, Stats., as receipts for providing one of the services which is subject to a selective tax on specified services provided in this state.

The sionificance of the distinction is the following:
If the tax on the gross receipts is imposed under Section 77.52 (1), for reatal of tangible personal property, then the tax on those gross receipts can be offset against the sales tax paid on the purciatic for the machine under Section 77.51(11)(c)5, Stats., (as clained by petitioner). llowever, if the eross receipis
are taxed as a "service" under Section 77.52(2)(a)2. Stats.,
then such tax pursuant to Section $77.51(24)$, Stats., may not
be offsct against that sales tax paid at the time of the purchase
of the machines (as determined by the Commission).
The Findings of Fact of the Commission, upon which the
legal determination must rest, is the following:
"2. During the period under review, the petitioner was doing business as Mitchell Vending Company, a sole proprietorship with its principal office in Menomoniee Falls, Wisconsin, and held Wisconsin seller's permit No. 157976 issued to him on May 1 , 1970.
"3. During the period under review, petitioner was in the business of providing, coin-operated amusement devices (for example, juke boxes, pinball machines, pool tables, bowling games, and other coin-operated amusement devices) to business establishments, such as bowling allcys, bars and restaurants. Petitioner arreed with the owners of the business establishments that in exchange for the privilege of locating his equipment on their premises, the owners would retain a percentage of the gross receipts of the equipment: The percentages varied between owners and types of business premises and did not appear to have had an established pattern. There was no testimony or evidence that any of the gross receipts splitting arrangements were done by written agreenent; testimony appears to imply that the arrangenents were verbally agreed to. Petitioner collected the receipts from his equipment, divided them with the orners of the business premises and was responsible for the equipment's maintenance and repair. "i (Pinding of Fact No. 2 and No. 3, Hecision and Order of Commisision hercin)

These findings are not challenged by petitioner.
In ligit of these findings, it is apparent that petitioner was providing at retail to the patrons of the establishments, into which he sas able to place his machines, the priviloge of the use
of entertainment devices. Section 77.52(2)(a)2, Stats. Sce also, Telemark Co., Inc. v. Dept of Taxation, 28 Wis. 2d 637 (1965). While petitioner's business relations might have been structured as rentals so as to yield perhaps a different conclusion, the Court is bound on review by the description of those business relations which petitioner'himself gave under questioning by the Desartment of Revenue's attorney, as reflected in the Commission's Findings of Fact.

The Comnission's interpretation of Section 77.52(2)(a)2, Stats., under the facts in this case makes unnccessary the metaphysical inquiry of when a patron has purchased merely the privilege of access to a machine as opposed to purchasing the actual accoss to the machine, or when the purchase was of the privilege of tie use as opposed to the purchase of the actual use of the machine. This inquiry might depend on whether the patron used a token or his or her own coin; or upon whether the patron stayed to listen to the jukebox or left before the record had finished playing; or upon whether the device is an active, participatory activity (such as a target shoot) or a passive activity such as listening to a jukebox. None of these considerations, however, change the nature of the business service provided by the petitioner, who placed and maintained the devices in the establishments, thereb; giving access thereto to both the busincss propreitor and public patrons.

I conclude that the respondent Commission correctly determined that a sales tax on the gross receipts from petitioner's amusement devices was imposed under Section 77.52(2)(a)2, Stats. I conclude further that the Commission correctly determined that since petitioner held a seller's permit at the time he sold the business in 1976 and since the business was apparently to be continued in the same fashion by the new owner, that the transaction did not qualify as an occasional sale under Section 77.51 . (10)(a), Stats.

## ORDER

For the reasons stated above, and based on the entire record herein, the decision of the Wisconsin Tax Appeals Commission dated October 21, 1980, is hereby affirmed.

Dated this $/ 10$ day of November, 1981.
BY THE COURT:
Angela B. Bartell, Judge $\qquad$
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